Pension Plan Administrator Roles and Responsibilities

1. Purpose and scope

1.1. This Guidance provides FSRA’s interpretation of the role and responsibilities of pension plan administrators (administrators).¹

1.2. As fiduciaries in an ever-changing world, administrators are responsible for prudently managing risks in their pension plans, making decisions in the best interest of pension plan members.

¹ This document is not intended to be a complete and comprehensive guide for administrators. Administrators have a duty to educate themselves with respect to their responsibilities. Specific aspects of the administrator’s role and responsibilities can vary depending on the nature of the pension plan: defined benefit, defined contribution, multi-employer, jointly-sponsored, and if the administrator has been appointed by the Chief Executive Officer of FSRA.
plan beneficiaries, and administering the plan in accordance with the filed plan documents and all applicable laws.

1.3. Voluntary employment-based pension plans are an important component of retirement income security for employees and their families in Ontario. Good pension plan administration helps all stakeholders and enhances confidence in the ability of these plans to deliver their intended outcomes.

1.4. FSRA’s guiding principles for the supervision of the pension are set out in its Pension Sector Guiding Principles.

2. Role of administrator

2.1. An administrator is responsible for all aspects of the administration of the pension plan and the related pension fund, including:

- Providing information to plan beneficiaries.
- Complying with plan documents and applicable legal requirements.
- Establishing, maintaining and investing the pension fund in accordance with the plan terms.
- Maintaining complete and accurate plan records.
- Ensuring that appropriate contributions are made to the pension plan.
- Making benefit payments to plan beneficiaries.

2.2. As a part of the administrator’s governance activities (see below regarding governance policy), the administrator’s role also includes responsibility for implementing processes to ensure plan risks (investment, funding, operational, legal etc.) are understood and addressed. Especially important in the context of defined benefit pension plans are those risks that might impact the security of the promised pension benefits, such as the financial risks to the plan’s investments and the employer’s ability to withstand variations in its funding commitments.

---

2 As used in this Guidance, “beneficiaries” refer to any individual with an entitlement under a pension plan, including members, former members and retired members as defined in the Pension Benefits Act (PBA) and any other individual who may be entitled to payment from the plan.
2.3. The administrator’s role differs from the roles of the plan sponsor and employer. The plan sponsor’s responsibilities include designing, establishing, amending and terminating the pension plan. The responsibilities of the employer include making contributions and providing sufficient funding to provide the promised pension benefits. For many defined benefit pension plans, the employer’s responsibilities also include understanding the risks that might impact the security of the promised pension benefits and its ability to withstand variations in its funding commitments based on the plan’s funding and investment strategies.

3. Who can be an administrator?

3.1. An administrator must be a person, body or entity specified by the Pension Benefits Act (PBA). For most plans, the administrator is also the same entity as the employer of plan members and the plan sponsor. Another common form of administrator is a board of trustees.

3.2. The individuals who make decisions for the administrator may delegate decision-making authority to other individuals within or outside of the organization. Where decision-making authority is delegated, the directors, pension committee or trustees should have in place controls and reporting requirements to ensure the administrator’s responsibilities are fulfilled and pension benefits are protected.

3.3. For some pension plans, the same individuals will have decision-making responsibilities for the administrator, the employer and/or the plan sponsor. In these situations, those individuals need to clearly understand and document when their decisions are taken as an administrator, an employer or a plan sponsor and be mindful of the potential for conflicts of interest among their roles (see below regarding fiduciary duties).

---

3 In many cases the employer is also the plan sponsor. While the PBA is silent on the role the plan sponsor, CAPSA guidelines differentiate the role of the plan sponsor from the role of the employer.


5 See, for instance, section 55 of the PBA. Subsection 8(1) of the PBA sets out who or what entity can be the administrator of a pension plan.

6 Subsection 8(1) of the PBA sets out who or what entity can be the administrator of a pension plan.
4. Responsibilities

4.1. Administrator responsibilities are set out in the pension plan documents, the PBA and other applicable legislation. Administrators also have responsibilities as fiduciaries under common law (see below regarding fiduciary duties).

4.2. To assist administrators in carrying out their responsibilities, FSRA has published regulatory guidance. The Canadian Association of Pension Supervisory Authorities (CAPSA) has also published documents describing leading practices. Administrators should be able to demonstrate that they have considered these practices in their administration and investment activities.

5. Fiduciary duties

5.1. Administrators are subject to fiduciary duties under common law and minimum standards prescribed by the PBA.

5.2. It is well established in law that a fiduciary is required to act with the utmost good faith and in the best interest of beneficiaries. This means that administrators must be honest, act in good faith and treat plan beneficiaries impartially. They must also avoid or manage conflicts of interest – i.e., the individuals and legal entities acting as administrators must not permit their interests to conflict with the interest of plan beneficiaries.

5.3. The PBA also requires administrators to act with the care, diligence and skill that a person of ordinary prudence would exercise in dealing with the property of another person. They must also use all relevant knowledge and skill that they possess or, by reason of their profession, business or calling, ought to possess. This is referred to as the administrator’s standard of care.

5.4. Individuals carrying out administrator functions must be knowledgeable about their responsibilities and the standard of care they must meet. Depending on the

---

7 https://www.fsrao.ca/industry/pension-sector/guidance.
8 https://www.capsa-acor.org/CAPSAGuidelines.
9 See, for instance, subsection 22(4) of the PBA.
10 Subsections 22(1) and (2) of the PBA. The standard of care articulated in the PBA with reference to the “property of another person” is considered higher than the fiduciary standard found in common law. The common law standard is considered to be determined with reference to the fiduciary’s own property.
circumstances, the standard of care may require the administrator to obtain the appropriate level of knowledge from third-party advisors.

5.5. In determining whether the administrator's standard of care has been met, attention is paid to the policies and procedures put in place by the administrator and how they were followed in the course of administering the plan. Appropriate policies and procedures, including written records of administrator decisions and activities, can help ensure and demonstrate that in respect of decision-making, only proper factors are considered (e.g., interest of plan beneficiaries, compliance with plan documentation and applicable law) and that the appropriate degree of care, diligence and skill is adhered to.

5.6. For pension plans where the administrator is also the plan sponsor and/or employer, there is a greater risk of potential conflicts of interest. For example, the directors and officers of a corporate employer must act in the best interests of the corporation. But, in carrying out administrator duties, those same individuals must act in the best interest of plan beneficiaries. In the context of multi-employer pension plans, individual trustees need to be mindful of potential conflicts of interest that may arise if they also occupy senior roles within the sponsoring union or the employer. Service provider engagements can also be a source of conflict of interest if the service provider provides services to the administrator and the plan sponsor or the employer (see below regarding service providers).

5.7. A helpful perspective for dealing with conflicts of interest is to consider how an independent administrator would act in the specific circumstances. This might require obtaining independent, expert advice. Also, a written policy on conflicts of interest, included as part of the administrator’s governance documents (see below regarding governance policy), is an effective way to identify potential conflicts of interest that may arise and the measures through which they can be effectively managed.

5.8. An administrator’s fiduciary duties apply in the context of ensuring that contributions to the plan are paid when due and the plan is sufficiently funded to pay the promised benefits.11 For defined benefit pension plans, an administrator’s fiduciary duties may require it to consider the plan’s probability of delivering its promised benefits in situations of increased

---

11 Section 56 of the PBA requires administrators to ensure contributions are paid when due. Section 59 of the PBA provides that administrators may commence proceedings in a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain payment of contributions.
funding risk, such as an employer’s financial distress, and what actions are appropriate in light of its standard of care.\footnote{FSRA has set forth its expectations of administrators in connection with the funding of single employer defined benefit pension plans in its \textit{Supervisory Approach for Single Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plans that are Actively Monitored}.} Depending on the circumstances, an administrator’s fiduciary duties may require it to consider formal legal action to enforce the payment of contributions and/or ensure that sufficient assets are retained within the employer to fund the plan.\footnote{Legal actions might include actions based on breach of the administrator’s fiduciary duty, breach of contract, corporate statute (e.g., derivative or oppression claims) and the \textit{Fraudulent Conveyances Act}.}

### 6. Service providers

**6.1.** Administrators often engage third-party service providers.\footnote{Subsection 22(5) of the PBA requires the administrator to be satisfied that it is reasonable and prudent to do so in the circumstances.} Service providers may provide advice as consultants or perform administration and investment activities for the pension plan. Such activities include, for instance, the preparation of a valuation report and investment decisions for the plan fund or for members’ investment options, as applicable.

**6.2.** Consistent with their fiduciary duties and statutory obligations, administrators need to understand how third-party advice informs their decision-making, and also prudently document and supervise the activities performed by those service providers.\footnote{Subsection 22(7) of the PBA, for instance, requires administrators to carry out such supervision “as is prudent and reasonable”.

\textit{Service providers should be subject to clear reporting obligations and have established policies and procedures that can be reviewed and monitored.}}

**6.3.** Service providers, that are employed by administrators to carry out any act required for the administration and/or investment of the plan, are subject under the PBA to the same
standard of care as administrators. Such service providers cannot contract out of the legislated standard of care.

6.4. While the use of service providers does not relieve administrators of liability, their use can be an effective means for administrators to meet their standard of care and manage their exposure to liability. Administrators should ensure their reliance on service providers is reasonable in accordance with their standard of care, e.g., through their supervision activities and by asking questions to understand and verify the reasonableness of relying on any advice received.

7. Administration expenses

7.1. It is generally permissible, subject to the plan documents and the PBA, to pay reasonable expenses for the administration and investment of the pension plan out of the pension fund. Permitted expenses are determined on a case-by-case basis. Such expenses should be appropriate for the circumstances of the pension plan and in the interest of plan beneficiaries. Expenses incurred in connection with the role of the plan sponsor or employer are impermissible. An administrator should consider having an expense policy

---

16 See subsections 22(5) and (8) of the PBA. FSRA interprets “agent” in a broad and purposive manner to include all service providers performing plan administration activities. FSRA recognizes, however, that a distinction can be made for service providers that only provide advice to an administrator and as such may not fall within the PBA’s statutory language regarding an agent.

17 If a service provider seeks to negotiate a contractual provision to limit the amount of its potential liability for its services, the implications of the provision should be considered by the administrator in light of the administrator’s own standard of care.

18 Administrators should be aware of any limitations in their plan documents or under the PBA. Section 22.1 of the PBA provides that expenses must not be paid from the pension fund if they are prohibited, or their payment is otherwise provided for, under the plan documents or the PBA or its regulations. Subsection 10(1) of the PBA requires that the mechanism for the payment of the cost of administration of the pension plan and the pension fund be set out in the plan documents. Where the sponsor or employer acts as the administrator, the sponsor or employer may pay expenses from its account and invoice the fund provided the plan documents permit this practice.

19 Examples of permitted expenses include: actuarial fees to prepare and file required valuation reports and other reports as considered appropriate by the administrator; investment management fees; legal or consulting fees related to legislative compliance; continuing education costs for the administrator; records retention; and expenses related to the wind-up of the pension plan.

20 Examples of impermissible expenses include: professional fees to assist a plan sponsor or employer in designing or revising the plan benefit structure; implementing those decisions can result in permissible fees and expenses. More broadly, fees and expenses whose primary purpose is to benefit the employer or plan sponsor are generally impermissible (e.g., the preparation of off-cycle valuation reports where the primary goal is to reduce employer
and/or obtain expert advice regarding the ability to pay expenses out of the plan where appropriate. An expense policy forms part of an administrator’s governance policy (see below regarding governance policy).

## 8. Governance policy

8.1. As outlined by CAPSA in its *Pension Plan Governance Guideline*, having a documented governance framework or policy is considered a key practice for pension governance. FSRA’s supervisory activities frequently include a review of plans’ governance frameworks. Failure to have and follow a governance framework exposes the administrator to potential sanction and liability for having breached its fiduciary and statutory standard of care.

8.2. Administrators, in developing their governance policies, should refer to CAPSA’s *Pension Plan Governance Guideline*, including the *Self-Assessment Questionnaire* and FAQs, and consider other best practices as may be relevant. A key component of a governance policy is a prudential framework. This framework is intended to identify and assess the magnitude and probability of potential risks, including the ability to absorb future fluctuations in funding contributions, based on reasonable assumptions, and to put into effect appropriate risk management practices.  

## 9. Effective date and future review

This Guidance is effective July 28, 2021. The next review of this Guidance will be initiated no later than July 28, 2024.
10. About this guidance

This document is consistent with FSRA’s Guidance Framework. As Interpretation guidance, it describes FSRA’s view of requirements under its legislative mandate (i.e., legislation, regulations and rules) so that non-compliance can lead to enforcement or supervisory action.

This Guidance replaces FSCO pension policies A300-101 (Administrator Role and Responsibilities), A200-101 (Administrative Fees and Expenses Payable from Pension Fund), A200-200 (Payable from Pension Fund) and A200-803 (Fees and Expenses for Wind Ups and Surplus Refund Applications).
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